

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/065,981 12/06/2002		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4517		
		Eugene Olczak	040849-0264			
22428 75	90 08/23/2005		EXAMINER			
FOLEY AND LARDNER			LEE, GUI	LEE, GUIYOUNG		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NW	ART UNIT	UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2875			

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/065,981		OLCZAK, EUGENE				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Guiyoung Lee		2875				
The MAILING L Period for Reply	OATE of this communication ap	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification.  - Failure to reply within the se	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a repetified above, the maximum statutory period to rextended period for reply will, by statute (fice later than three months after the mailinent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, bly within the statutory will apply and will ex e, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONED	ely filed  s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on <u>16 J</u>	lune 2005.						
, ,								
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5.1</u> 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>6-9,12</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>10,14,</u>	Claim(s) <u>10,14,24 and 25</u> is/are objected to.							
Application Papers								
10) The drawing(s) 1  Applicant may no  Replacement dra	is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination of the initial ini	cepted or b) edrawing(s) be fetion is required	eld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	,	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2875

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Prelim./Amdt.

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed 06/09/2005.

- 2. Applicant cancelled claims 2-3, 11, 16 and 26-29.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-10, 12-15, and 17-25 are pending.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. The indicated allowability of claims 6-7, 15, 17, 19, and 21 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Ookawa et al. (US 6,628,460 B1). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 6-7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ookawa et al. (US 6,628,460 B1).

Re claims 6-7 and 19: Ookawa discloses an optical substrate having a prism structure characterized by a peak angle in the range of 80 degree to 100 degree (col. 5, line 58) and a refractive index of 1.6 or more (col. 5, line 65). Further, Ookawa discloses that the ultraviolet

Art Unit: 2875

curable material composition is supplied in the prism structure in order to prevent a bubble generation (col. 2, line 35+).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 8-9, 12-13, 15, 17, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ookawa in view of Higuchi et al (US 5,797,668) and Lu (US 2002/0097496 A1).

Re claims 8-9, 12-13, 15, 17, and 20-21: Ookawa's teachings have been discussed above.

Ookawa discloses the peak angle range of the prism and the refractive index as set forth in the claim. Ookawa does not disclose that a cross section of the prism structure have a curved facet or a plurality of facets. Higuchi discloses a prism structure having a curved facet (Fig. 17 and Fig. 18), and Lu discloses a prism structure having a plurality of facets (Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ookawa's prism structure to include a curved facet or a plurality of facets as Higuchi and Lu showed because such modification of prism structure make it possible to control and redirect propagation direction of the light and such modification would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2875

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1, 4-5, 18 and 22-23 are allowed.

10. Claims 10, 14, and 24-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With regard to claims 1, 4-5, 10, 14, 18, 22-25, the prior art of record does not disclose the

claimed optical substrate having a prism structure characterized by a cross section having a

curved facet described by the equation as set forth in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

/ V<sub>Sandra</sub> 0'Shea Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800